THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE L. NARASIMHA REDDY

Writ Petition Nos. 6103 of 2006 & 12110 of 2007

(COMMON ORDER)

Dated 22-10-2007

Between:

M.A.Kareem

…Petitioner

And

The A.P. Central Power Distribution Company Limited, rep. by its Chief General Manager, Hyderabad and others.

…Respondents

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE L. NARASIMHA REDDY

Writ Petition Nos. 6103 of 2006 & 12110 of 2007

COMMON ORDER:

These two writ petitions are filed by the same individual. The subject-matter of both the writ petitions is an order of final assessment dated 23-07-2004. The petitioner was found to have indulged in tampering of meters, and after issuing an order of provisional assessment, the competent authority passed an order of final assessment, requiring the petitioner to pay a sum of Rs.1,19,658/-, towards energy charges. W.P.No.6103 of 2006 was admitted on 28-03-2006, and notice was ordered in the W.P.M.P. Without mentioning this fact, the petitioner filed W.P.No.12110 of 2007. On 12-06-2007 notice before admission was ordered, and an interim order was passed to the effect that the power supply to the petitioner shall be restored on deposit of a sum of Rs.40,000/-.

At a later point of time, the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents brought to the notice of this Court, that the petitioner has already filed a writ petition for the same relief, and without mentioning the same, another writ petition was filed.

This Court took serious note of the conduct of the petitioner and passed an order dated 03-07-2007, directing that the petitioner be present before this Court on 06-07-2007. On that day, Sri D.V.Nagarjuna Babu, learned counsel for the petitioner in W.P.No.12110 of 2007 submitted that, despite his best efforts, he could not secure the presence of the petitioner. Thereupon, an order was passed, directing the Registry to procure the presence of the petitioner. When that did not fructify, the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Goshamahal, was directed to cause sealing of the premises. A report has since been submitted, stating that the premises have been sealed.

As of now, there is no representation for the petitioner. The

record discloses that the petitioner had resorted to gross misuse of the process of the Court.

Hence, these two writ petitions are dismissed, and it is directed that, the seals put to the premises, by the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Goshamahal, shall remain, till the petitioner deposits the entire amount, levied under the final order of assessment, and a further sum of Rs.25,000/- to the respondents,

which shall also be subject to the rights, if any, of the owner of the premises.

There shall be no order as to costs.

L. NARASIMHA REDDY, J.

Dt.22-10-2007

KO